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Abstract: The main purpose of this article is to study the behavior of a metallic electrode in the electrolyte which contains a surface-active substance with the property of adsorption-desorption, in the galvanodynamic and potentiodynamic regimes. The study of the electrochemical behavior of a metallic electrode is carried out by operational impedance method, based on the Ohms low on the interaction between the Laplace-transformed expression of current, voltage and complex resistance (impedance). It is obtained the analytical expression of interface voltage-time dependence in a solution which contains a surface-active indifferent substance with the property of adsorption-desorption; also it is obtained the analytical expression of current density-time dependence which is passing through electrochemical cell in potentiodynamic regime of functioning of the Frumkin-Melik-Gaykazan model. It is established that the relation between the interface metallic electrode-indifferent electrolyte with property of adsorption-desorption voltage in the galvanodynamic regime has the character of second order parabola; the relation between current density which is passing through a cell and time in potentiodynamic regime of functioning in the Frumkin-Melik-Gaykazan model has exponential character.
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1 Introduction

In the case of adsorption of the electrochemical indifferent substance in the electrolyte which contains the surface-active component the electrode impedance was examined by Frumkin and Melik-Gaykazan in the works.\textsuperscript{[1–3]} In the considered model electrode charge depend on not only the potential but also on amount of the absorbed ions or molecules which charges are exchanges with the metallic surface.

As to as regards faraday process it should be mean that in the appointed region of potentials the surface-active substance do not electrochemically oxidize or reduction on the electrode.\textsuperscript{[3]} The amount of electricity, which is communicated to electrode, it is used up (spend up) on the charging of electric double layer.\textsuperscript{[1,2]} Just (namely) such model of interface electrodeorganic electrolyte solution is obtained the name Frumkin-Melic-Gaykazan model.

The investigation of adsorption of organic substances on the metalles of the platinum group is begin intensive in connection with the problem of using organic substances as electrochemical fuel for the combustible elements.\textsuperscript{[4]}

Namely this object (task) was the one of problem of the practical (applied) electrochemistry the basic main purpose of which was the application of the fuel galvanic elements in electro motor car.\textsuperscript{[1,2]}

The equivalent electric circuit of Frumkin and Melik Gaykazan model for the first time was suggestion by Grafov B.M. and Ukshe E.A..\textsuperscript{[4]} (see Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Equivalent electric circuit of Frumkin and Melik-Gaykazan model (scheme)](image)

The structural elements on the Figure 1 are signified: $R_{11}$ and $C_{11}$ are the active resistance and supplementary capacity of the electric double layer which are connected.
with the adsorption of the surface-active substances in a electrolyte; \( Z_{W11} \) is the diffusion impedance of Warburg; \( CD \) is the “veritable” (true) capacity of the electrode which is corresponds to constant value of adsorption.

In the works\(^{[1,2]} \) authors investigated the kinetic mechanism of adsorption of the organic substances on the metallic electrode in two limited cases of electrode processes: diffusion and adsorption. In the present work we make an attempt to analyze the behaviour of Frumkin and Melik Gaykazan model in the galvanodynamic and potentiodynamic regimes of functioning of the electrochemical system.

2 Theoretical analysis

2.1 Galvanodynamic regime

The operational impedance of the equivalent electric which is represented in Figure 1 may be exemplified in the form of relationship

\[
Z(p) = R_{11} + \frac{W_{11}}{\sqrt{p}} + \frac{1}{p(C_A + C_{11})} \tag{1}
\]

where \( Z_{W11} \) is diffusion constant of the Warburg; \( p \) is the complex variable. In the galvanodynamic regime (in the method of linear current scan) \( I(t) = I_0 + \vartheta t \) (where \( I_0 \) is the initial current value, \( \vartheta \) is the rate of linear current scan), then at \( I_0 = 0 \), the Laplace operator of function \( I(t) \) is \( I(p) = \vartheta / p^2 \).\(^{[5]} \)

So far as \( E(p) = I(p) \cdot Z(p) \), the following expression is obtained for the operator potential

\[
E(p) = \frac{\vartheta}{p^2} \left[ R_{11} + \frac{W_{11}}{\sqrt{p}} + \frac{1}{p(C_D + C_{11})} \right] \tag{2}
\]

Using invers Laplace transform tables\(^{[6]} \) we obtained the following expression for the potential

\[
E(t) = \vartheta R_{11} t + \frac{\vartheta W_{11}}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot t^{3/2} + \frac{\vartheta t^2}{C_D + C_{11}} \left( C_D + C_{11} \right)^2 \tag{3}
\]

Potential vs. time dependence plotted according to equation (3) in the galvanodynamic regime of functioning of the cell in the Frumkin and Melik-Gaykazan model.

![Figure 2. Potential vs. time dependence plotted according to equation (3) in the galvanodynamic regime of functioning of the cell in the Frumkin and Melik-Gaykazan model.](image)

2.2 Potentiodynamic regime

In the potentiodynamic regime (in the method of linear potential scanning) \( E(t) = E_0 + \vartheta t \) (where \( E_0 \) is the initial potential value and \( \vartheta \) is its linear scan rate), then at \( E_0 = 0 \), the Laplace operator of function \( E(t) \) is \( E(p) = \vartheta / p^2 \). However, because \( I(p) = E(p) / Z(p) \), then by substituting the values \( E(p) \) and \( Z(p) \) into the later expression, we obtained

\[
I(p) = \frac{\vartheta a'}{p(p + \sqrt{p}\alpha' + m)} \tag{4}
\]

The following designations are substituted into equation (4): \( a = C_D + C_{11} \); \( b = R_{11} (C_D + C_{11}) \); \( c = W_{11} (C_D + C_{11}) \); \( a' = a/b \); \( \alpha' = c/b \); \( m = 1/b \). Equation (4) can be expanded into the sum of partial
fractions

\[ I(p) = \frac{\vartheta a'}{p(p + \sqrt{pc'} + m)} = \frac{d_1}{p} + \frac{d_2}{\sqrt{p} + m_2} + \frac{d_3}{\sqrt{p} + m_1} \]  

(5)

Where \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) are the roots (zero) of the characteristic square equation \( p + \sqrt{pc'} + m = 0 \), which are equal to \( m_1 = 72.36; m_2 = 27.64 \).

The values of roots of square equation are determined at the following magnitude of the parameters of the equivalent electric circuits:

\[ W_{11} = 500 \text{ Ohm} \cdot \text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1/2}; \ R_{11} = 5 \text{ Ohm} \cdot \text{cm}^2; \ C_A = C_{11} = 50 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{F/cm}^2. \]

For the calculation of the while unknown coefficients we bring the equation (5) to the following appearance

\[ I(p) = \frac{\vartheta a'}{p(p + \sqrt{pc'} + m)} = \frac{d_1}{p} + \frac{d_2}{\sqrt{p} + m_2} + \frac{d_3}{\sqrt{p} + m_1} \]  

(6)

Coefficients \( d_1, d_2 \) and \( d_3 \) can be found by equating the factor at similar \( p \) powers in the numerators on the left and on the right.[7]

\[
\begin{align*}
 d_1m_1m_2 &= \vartheta a' \\
 d_1 + d_2m_2 + d_3m_1 &= 0 \\
 d_1m_1 + d_2m_2 &= 0 \\
 d_2 + d_3 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

The thus found coefficients \( d_1, d_2 \) and \( d_3 \) are

\[ d_1 = \frac{\vartheta a'}{m_1m_2}; \ d_2 = -\frac{d_1}{m_2 - m_1}; \ d_3 = -d_2. \]

Using inverse Laplace transform tables[6] it is possible to carry out the term-by-term transformation of equation (5) into the space of original function. As a result, we obtained the following expression for the current

\[ I(t) = d_1 + d_2 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} - m_1 \exp(m_1^2t) \text{erfc} \left( m_1t^{1/2} \right) \right] + d_3 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} - m_2 \exp(m_2^2t) \text{erfc} \left( m_2t^{1/2} \right) \right] \]

(8)

By taking into account the equality \( d_2 + d_1 = 0 \), we obtained the following expression for the current

\[ I(t) = d_1 + d_2m_1 \exp(m_1^2t) \text{erfc} \left( m_1t^{1/2} \right) - d_3m_2 \exp(m_2^2t) \text{erfc} \left( m_2t^{1/2} \right) \]

(9)

The numerical values of the coefficients \( d_1, d_2 \) and \( d_3 \) are equal to:

\[ d_1 = 100 \ \mu A/cm^2; \ d_2 = 2, 2361; \ d_3 = -2, 2361. \]

The final calculation of the current through the electrolytic cell can be conduct on the equation (10)

\[ I(t) = 100 \ \mu A/cm^2 + 2, 2361 \cdot 72, 36 \exp(5235, 96 \cdot t) \]

\[ \text{erfc} \left( -72, 36 \Right) - 2, 2361 \cdot 27, 64 \exp(763, 96 \cdot t) \]

\[ \text{erfc} \left( -27, 64 \cdot t^{1/2} \right) \]

(10)

Current-time dependence plotted based on equation (10) is represented in Figure 3, which is plotted for the indicated above equivalent circuit parameters and linear scan rate of potential \( \vartheta = 1V/s \).

It is shown from Figure 3 that the current-time dependence in the case fulfilment of Frumkin and Melik-Gaykazan model has the rectilinearity character.

![Figure 3. Dependence current vs. time plotted based on equation (10) in the potentiodynamic regime de of functioning of the Frumkin and Melik-Gaykazan model](image)

3 Conclusion

By the graphic analytical method are disclosed the two essential indications which are confirmed a presence in the electrochemical system the Frumkin and Melik-Gaykazan model (or scheme).

On the one hand this is the submission of the potential time dependence to parabola of second order in the galvanodynamic regime ode of functioning of the electrochemical cell. On the other hand, the submission the current time dependence to linear function in the potentiodynamic regime.
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